

## HazCollect FOTE National Test (11/18/08) Results

EASTERN REGION		
		RESULTS
BTV	Burlington VT	<p>Scott Whittier reported:</p> <p><i>“...We received PWMADRNH, ALBADRVT and ALBADRNY at 1918 UTC.</i></p> <p><i>HOWEVER, none made it to CRS. We received the following error messages on CRS:</i>  <i>No acceptable areas (LACs/zones) Error file</i>  <i>/crs/data/CP/VC24_PWMADRNHAW.AC</i>  <i>VCC5_ALBADRVTAW.AC</i>  <i>VC96_ALBADRNYAW.AC</i></p> <p><i>We ran the procedure to save the transmit logs...which were empty (and didn't see anything happen... were we suppose to?)...”</i></p> <p>Both David Manning (ERH) and Joe Palko (WFO PBZ) attributed this issue to possible lack of the “000” state codes for each of the states.</p> <p>Mike Moss ran the script and found that the “000” state codes were not in the database. Also missing were the setup to have ADRs properly replace and cancel existing NWEMS.</p> <p><b>ACTION REQUIRED: WFO BTV needs to add the “000” state codes.</b></p>
SOUTHERN REGION		
		RESULTS
EPZ	El Paso TX	<p>Per Rod Heckel’s email:</p> <p><i>“EPZ HazCollect test was successful on the 3 English transmitters El Paso, Las Cruces and Silver City.</i></p> <p><i>The product was not sent to the Spanish only transmitter. I will need to work on the script used to send the English product to be translated.”</i></p> <p><b>ACTION REQUIRED: FOTE test team to coordinate with SRH (Mike, Janice) for a retest</b></p>
KEY	Key West FL	<p>Jonathan Rizzo reported that an AWIPS Red banner for ADRFL “NOT” being sent to CRS for NWR and NWWS was received.</p> <p>This message was received after previous successful issuance of the red banners for ADRFL (2:18pm EST and 221pm EST) and successful broadcast for the ADRFL (226pm EST and 227pm EST). SAME tones were properly broadcasted by NWR and Office EAS decoder.</p> <p><b>ACTION REQUIRED: Nancy Helderman/Mike Moss is currently investigating.</b></p>

LZK	Little Rock AR	<p>Reported that the ADR did broadcast OK but they did not see any Activity Log entries of the ADR transmission. OPS23 investigation is pending.</p> <p><b>ACTION REQUIRED: Nancy Helderman is currently investigating.</b></p>
SJU	San Juan PR	<p>Per Rafael Mojica: The ADRPR for Puerto Rico and ADRVI for the Virgin Islands were not disseminated. They did not receive the ADRPR</p> <p>1) Initial investigation by OPS24 concludes that the input CAP message properly contain San Juan and St Croix), and WMO messages were generated for ADRPR and ADRVI in HazCollect. There were 55 total WMO generated by HazCollect (via Message Queue).</p> <p>2) OPS24 looked at the textdb on AWIPS NMTW and noticed that ADRPR was not in the database. There was ADRVI from Sept 11, 2008 test.</p> <p>3) These messages were also verified existing on the HazCollect ‘sent’ directory.</p> <p>4) Per Walter Mussante investigation, these two messages were received at the NWSTG, and forwarded to NCF.</p> <p>5) Brian Rapp checked on the NCF - Brian Rapp (Raytheon) reported:  <i>“... We found a problem with the configuration at the NCF. This problem occurred because these two products were sent at exactly the same time. Due to the way the HazCollect products were configured, they were given the same file name with the first one being overwritten by the second. The only time this particular problem could occur is when two products from different configuration line items were received within the same one second period, as occurred here. This has been corrected at the ANCF, BNCF, and TNCF by giving each configuration line item a unique base file name...”</i></p> <p><b>ACTION REQUIRED: FOTE test team to coordinate with SRH (Mike, Janice) for a retest</b></p>
<b>CENTRAL REGION</b>		
<b>RESULTS</b>		
ABR	Aberdeen SD	<p>Initially reported that the ADR was not broadcasted, and they later called that their state code “000” was not setup in CRS.</p> <p>Per Jennifer Zeltwanger email:</p> <p><i>“... We had a minor hiccup with our test today. We did receive the proper red banners for the ADR message for SD, ND and MN. However, as outlined at the end of Step 1 of the Monitoring instructions, we received the following CRS error message:</i></p> <p><i>Message from AWIPS contains error(s): No acceptable areas (LACs / zones)</i></p> <p><i>We did not have SDC000, MNC000, or NDC000 in our database of county codes.</i></p>

		<p><i>After we added the proper county codes in, we re-sent the message through the NWR browser, and everything played as it was supposed to...</i> ”</p> <p><b>NO ACTION REQUIRED:</b></p>
CYS	Cheyenne WY	<p>John Griffith reported that one of their transmitters (for WY) did not broadcast the ADR. He initially attributed the cause as lack of triggers on the ‘NWRWAVES’ formatter.</p> <p>Herb White (OS51) responded that a meeting with Central Region headquarters will need to be scheduled per use of NWRWAVES and CAFÉ formatter for HazCollect.</p> <p>Mike Moss ran his discovery scripts and noticed that there maybe conflicts sending NWEMs via NWRWAVES and CAFÉ formatter (i.e., both were triggered). He also found out that there was no NWRWAVES trigger for CYSADRKY.</p> <p><b>NO ACTION REQUIRED: Greg Noonan coordinated with the site and the site made necessary changes.</b></p>
DTX	Detroit MI	<p>Richard Pollman reported:</p> <p><i>“...Today's HazCollect test went well at DTX except for one specific issue. We did not get a NWR product for ADROH for our Adrian NWR transmitter. The ADRMI was received and sent successfully at DTX for all four transmitters. The Adrian NWR transmitter does cover multiple counties in NW Ohio, but we did not get an ADROH (was successfully received in AWIPS database)...”</i></p> <p>Mike Moss responded that the even though CLEADROH is in the fxatextTriggerActions.txt file, it is not in the postgres watchwarn table. Mike recommends that the site rerun the ‘f-trigger’ localization on the DX1.</p> <p>Gregory Smith has successfully rerun the localization and the triggers are now in the postgres watchwarn table.</p> <p><b>NO ACTION REQUIRED:</b></p>
GLD	Goodland KS	<p>Reported that one of their transmitters did not broadcast the ADR message. Per Dave Floyd’s email:</p> <p><i>“WFO Goodland (GLD) serves three states: CO, KS, NE.</i></p> <p><i>All three red banner messages appeared in AWIPS. The messages automatically went to the appropriate transmitters with one exception: Our transmitter in Wray Colorado (WXM-87) serves all three states. While the messages for CO and NE were successfully transmitted, the message for KS did not appear in the broadcast cycle, nor was it in the transmit log. The product TOPADRKS is, however, set to play on that transmitter.</i></p>

		<p><i>The test went well with that one exception.”</i></p> <p>Mike Moss added that based on running his HazColCRS.ksh detail script, TOPADRKS is set for transmission to all suites and was sent to CRS based on the logStreamExpect file.</p> <p>However, Nancy Helderman (OPS23) later found out that the CRS transmitter mapping for KSC000 needs to contain the WXM87 transmitter to properly broadcast the ADR.</p> <p><b>ACTION REQUIRED: WFO GLD needs to add the WXM87 transmitter mapping to KSC000.</b></p>
LBF	North Platte NE	<p>Deb Blondin reported two of their transmitters (Valentine and Sutherland) did not broadcast the ADR.</p> <p><b>ACTION REQUIRED: Nancy Helderman is currently investigating.</b></p>
OAX	Omaha NE	<p>Brian Smith reported that one of their transmitters did not broadcast the ADR but believes that it was due to CRS setup.</p> <p><b>NO ACTION REQUIRED:</b></p>
<b>WESTERN REGION</b>		
<b>RESULTS</b>		
PQR	Portland OR	<p>Steve Todd reported that:</p> <p><i>“...WFO Portland received the ADR messages for both Oregon and Washington. We also received the red banner alert for both messages. However, the LAN bridge was down between AWIPS and CRS, so we were not able to determine if the message was broadcast correctly on CRS. We discovered the problem with the connection between AWIPS and CRS when we viewed the activity log on CRS and found no entries for the ADR messages...or any other messages during the time period of interest...”</i></p> <p>Steve Todd has since performed a successful reboot of the LAN bridge.</p> <p><b>NO ACTION REQUIRED:</b></p>
<b>ALASKA &amp; PACIFIC REGIONS</b>		
<b>RESULTS</b>		
GUM	Tiyan Guam	<p>Chip Guard reported that ADRGU was received at WFO Guam, but:</p> <p><i>“...1. Test Message arrived at the WFO Guam AWIPS at about 1918 UTC. It had the following format.</i></p> <p><i>^[aT_ENGGUMADRGU 08111819180811181918 CD GUC010c0811181948</i></p> <p><i>THIS MESSAGE IS FOR TEST PURPOSES ONLY. THIS IS A NATIONAL TEST MESSAGE.</i></p>

		<p><i>THE FOLLOWING MESSAGE IS TRANSMITTED AT THE REQUEST OF THE NWS TEST GROUP.</i></p> <p><i>THIS IS A TEST MESSAGE. THIS IS A TEST OF THE CAPABILITY TO RELAY EMERGENCY MESSAGES FROM NON NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE SOURCES USING DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY AND NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE SYSTEMS. THIS TEST MESSAGE MAY BE RELAYED BY EMERGENCY ALERT SYSTEM PARTICIPATING STATIONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH LOCAL AND STATE EMERGENCY ALERT SYSTEM PLANS.</i></p> <p><i>THIS IS A TEST MESSAGE. DO NOT TAKE ACTION BASED ON THIS TEST MESSAGE.</i>  ^ [b</p> <p><i>2. The message produced a RED BANNER. The message was sent to the CRS, but does not appear to have been transmitted. We did not see any message that said: "Message from AWIPS contains error(s): No acceptable areas (LACs/zones)". The Activity Log file (M-1.log) is attached. Turn on Word Wrap to read file.</i></p> <p><i>3. LAC settings for the GUMADRGUM message were:  LAC: GUC085-MPC100-MPC110-MPC120</i></p> <p><i>The first code is incorrect. Correct codes are GUM999 for Nimitz Hill transmitter We have only 1 transmitter for the area. This gets the message to all locations, but does not activate the radios.</i></p> <p><i>The following do activate the NWRs.</i></p> <p><i>GUM010 for Guam  MPC100 for Rota (CNMI)  MPC120 for Tinian (CNMI)  MPC110 for Saipan (CNMI)  MPC085 is for Northern Islands without NWR coverage (really unnecessary).</i></p> <p><i>There is no GUC085 as indicated in the LAC..  CNMI= Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands..."</i></p> <p><b>ACTION REQUIRED: Nancy Helderman/OS51 is currently investigating.</b></p>
HFO	Honolulu	<p><b>DID NOT PARTICIPATE. State of Hawaii was not included in the National Test per request from WFO Honolulu due to on-going weather conditions.</b></p>